

# ◎ GENDER INDICATORS

GEI VALUES IN 2009 AND RECENT GEI TRENDS (2004-2009)								
Country	GEI 2009	Evolution (%) 2004-2009	Country	GEI 2009	Evolution (%) 2004-2009	Country	GEI 2009	Evolution (%) 2004-2009
Albania	55	-9.6	Georgia	62	-3.7	Panama	70	12.2
Algeria	53	13.3	Germany	78		Paraguay	67	14.2
Angola	60	-8.0	Ghana	58	-7.5	Peru	70	23.2
Argentina	72	24.2	Greece	65	5.1	Philippines	76	3.9
Armenia	58		Guatemala	51	10.4	Poland	70	-4.0
Australia	75	4.7	Guinea-Bissau	47	0.2	Portugal	73	5.6
Austria	71	1.2	Guyana	60	4.7	Qatar	48	-2.9
Azerbaijan	60	-2.7	Honduras	69	11.2	Romania	71	3.2
Bahamas	79	8.8	Hong Kong	75	5.7	Russian Federation	71	-1.7
Bahrain	46	-1.4	Hungary	70	1.3	Rwanda	84	18.5
Bangladesh	53	-2.2	Iceland	78	4.7	Samoa	49	
Barbados	76	2.7	India	41	-7.6	Sao Tome and Principe	49	
Belarus	66	5.0	Indonesia	55	-1.3	Saudi Arabia	43	2.6
Belgium	72	8.3	Iran	54	19.8	Senegal	55	-2.0
Belize	63	13.3	Ireland	69	9.2	Sierra Leone	45	5.7
Benin	42	-13.7	Israel	72	8.3	Singapore	63	0.8
Bolivia	66	12.3	Italy	64	4.7	Slovakia	69	-7.2
Bosnia and Herzegovina	61		Jamaica	61	-9.0	Slovenia	65	-7.5
Botswana	66	-6.5	Japan	59	0.1	Solomon Islands	47	
Brazil	68	8.7	Jordan	47	1.3	South Africa	75	11.2
Bulgaria	73	0.1	Kazakhstan	74	17.7	Spain	77	16.5
Burkina Faso	54	1.9	Kenya	59	-5.7	Sri Lanka	58	-5.2
Burundi	64	6.1	Korea, Rep.	53	-6.9	St Lucia	71	
Cambodia	62	0.8	Kuwait	49		St Vincent and Grenadines	60	
Cameroon	51	-6.8	Kyrgyzstan	70	10.0	Sudan	43	-8.7
Canada	74	-4.3	Lao, PDR	52		Suriname	56	-11.7
Cape Verde	54		Latvia	75	0.5	Swaziland	49	-3.8
Central African Republic	46	-5.1	Lebanon	47	1.2	Sweden	88	4.3
Chad	43		Lesotho	64	16.3	Switzerland	62	-1.9
Chile	62	5.4	Lithuania	76	1.0	Syria	54	16.1
China	68	8.7	Luxembourg	61	2.1	Tajikistan	52	-7.5
Colombia	75	11.2	Macedonia	67	7.7	Tanzania	72	
Congo, Rep.	45	1.0	Malaysia	58	-7.3	Thailand	70	0.6
Costa Rica	67	2.7	Maldives	61	-10.5	Timor-Leste	66	
Côte d'Ivoire	39	-2.6	Mali	53	-0.5	Togo	47	11.7
Croatia	75	9.0	Malta	58	24.0	Trinidad and Tobago	70	3.1
Cuba	70	7.2	Mauritania	51	6.0	Tunisia	50	-2.7
Cyprus	65	7.4	Mauritius	60	17.1	Turkey	46	-8.0
Czech Republic	68	-4.0	Mexico	61	3.6	Uganda	67	7.7
Denmark	79	-1.8	Moldova	74	1.7	Ukraine	69	0.0
Djibouti	47		Mongolia	70	8.4	United Arab Emirates	50	7.5
Dominican Republic	65	5.1	Morocco	45	-5.6	United Kingdom	74	5.2
Ecuador	72	25.0	Mozambique	64		United States of America	74	-4.1
Egypt	44	-11.2	Namibia	71	3.5	Uruguay	69	0.7
El Salvador	68	10.4	Nepal	51	18.9	Uzbekistan	57	-10.0
Equatorial Guinea	44	5.4	Netherlands	77	7.3	Vanuatu	54	
Eritrea	47	-22.5	New Zealand	78	2.6	Venezuela	68	10.3
Estonia	73	0.0	Nicaragua	52	-10.6	Viet Nam	74	11.7
Ethiopia	53	12.0	Niger	47	2.8	Yemen	30	5.1
Finland	84	6.1	Nigeria	44	-14.9	Zambia	56	-0.5
France	72	13.1	Norway	83	9.2	Zimbabwe	58	-2.8
Gabon	53	-1.3	Oman	46	10.1			
Gambia	50	-18.7	Pakistan	43	2.3			

# Reproductive health

## References

**CURRENT SITUATION**  
(latest available data)

- Better situation
- Above average
- Below average
- Worse situation

**RECENT EVOLUTION** (Between most recent and previous available data)

- ➔ Significant progress
- ➔ Slight progress
- ⌚ Stagnant
- ➔ Regression
- ➔ Major regression

Complete table at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (Basic Capabilities Index value, 0-100)	WOMEN AGED 15-49 ATTENDED AT LEAST ONCE DURING PREGNANCY BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	BIRTHS ATTENDED BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG CURRENTLY IN UNION WOMEN AGED 15-49 (%)	Value
➔	Afghanistan (47)	52	14		33
➔	Albania (96)	81	100		91
➔	Algeria (96)	79	95		87
➔	Angola (58)		45		45
➔	Antigua and Barbuda (94)		100		100
➔	Argentina (98)		99		99
➔	Armenia (95)	93.2	98		96
⌚	Australia (99)		100		100
➔	Azerbaijan (96)	70	97	51.1	73
⌚	Bahamas (99)		99		99
⌚	Bahrain (99)		99		99
➔	Bangladesh (56)	48.7	20	55.8	42
➔	Barbados (98)	89	100		95
➔	Belarus (100)		100		100
⌚	Belgium (98)		99		99
➔	Belize (92)		91	34.3	63
⌚	Benin (77)	88	74	17	60
➔	Bhutan (79)		51	35.4	43
➔	Bolivia (79)	79.1	60.8		70
➔	Bosnia and Herzegovina (98)	99	100		100
➔	Botswana (90)	99	99		99
➔	Brazil (90)		97		97
⌚	Brunei Darussalam (99)		100		100
➔	Bulgaria (97)		99		99
➔	Burkina Faso (71)	72.8	54		63
➔	Burma/Myanmar (73)		68		68
➔	Burundi (61)	93	34		64
➔	Cambodia (66)	69.3	43.8		57
➔	Cameroon (77)	83.3	63		73
⌚	Canada (99)		100		100
➔	Cape Verde (93)		89		89
➔	Central African Republic (65)		54		54
➔	Chad (44)	42.6	15		29
➔	Chile (99)		100	58.4	79
➔	China (95)		98	84.6	91
➔	Colombia (94)	93.5	96		95
➔	Comoros (79)		62		62
➔	Congo DR (68)		61		61
➔	Congo, Rep. (76)	86.8	83	20.6	63
⌚	Cook Islands (98)		100		100
➔	Costa Rica (93)		94		94

**NOTE:**  
1. Evolution: Evolution of indicators obtained by re-calculating those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks: Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 a 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress. This rate is obtained from the following operation: (2009 value - 2008 value) / 2008 value \* 100

2. Value reached by the index: The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.  
3. Stagnant Evolution: In those indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**SOURCES:**  
Women aged 15-49 attended at least once during pregnancy: Global Health Atlas, WHO ([www.who.int/globalatlas](http://www.who.int/globalatlas)).  
Births attended by skilled health personnel: Reproductive Health Indicators Database, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO ([www.who.int/reproductivehealth/](http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/)). Except for (+) Demographic and Health Surveys - STAT compiler ([www.measuredhs.com/accesssurveys/](http://www.measuredhs.com/accesssurveys/)).  
Contraceptive use among currently in union women aged 15-49: World Bank ([www.worldbank.org](http://www.worldbank.org)).

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (Basic Capabilities Index value, 0-100)	WOMEN AGED 15-49 ATTENDED AT LEAST ONCE DURING PREGNANCY BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	BIRTHS ATTENDED BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG CURRENTLY IN UNION WOMEN AGED 15-49 (%)	Value
→	Côte d'Ivoire (74)	84	57		71
→	Croatia (100)		100		100
→	Cuba (99)		100	77.1 →	89
=	Cyprus (100)		100		100
→	Czech Republic (99)		100		100
→	Djibouti (90)		93		93
→	Dominica (96)		99		99
→	Dominican Republic (87)	98.3	96	72.9 →	89
←	Ecuador (86)	56	80		68
→	Egypt (89)	71.3	74		73
→	El Salvador (80)		69		69
=	Equatorial Guinea (58)		63		63
→	Eritrea (60)	70.3	28		49
=	Estonia (99)		100		100
→	Ethiopia (53)	28	6		17
→	Fiji (93)		99		99
=	Finland (100)		100		100
→	France (99)		99		99
→	Gabon (82)	94	86		90
→	Gambia (73)	92	57		75
→	Georgia (96)	91	92		92
=	Germany (99)		100		100
→	Ghana (76)	91.9	50		71
→	Grenada (92)		100		100
→	Guatemala (68)	86	41		64
→	Guinea (68)	82.2	38		60
→	Guinea-Bissau (58)	89	39		64
=	Guyana (84)	88	94	34.2 ←	72
→	Haiti (48)	84.5	26		55
=	Honduras (82)	91.7	67	65	75
=	Hungary (99)		100		100
=	India (68)	65	47		56
→	Indonesia (85)	91.5	66	61.4 →	73
→	Iraq (88)		89		89
→	Iran (95)		97		97
=	Ireland (100)		100		100
→	Italy (100)		99		99
→	Jamaica (95)		97		97
→	Japan (99)		100		100
=	Jordan (99)	98.6	100	57.1 →	85
→	Kazakhstan (99)	82	100		91
→	Kenya (71)	88.1	42		65
→	Kiribati (89)		90		90
→	Korea, DPR (87)	98	97		98
→	Korea, Rep. (100)		100		100
→	Kuwait (100)		100		100
→	Kyrgyzstan (95)	88	98		93
=	Lao PDR (58)	44	19		32
=	Latvia (99)		100		100

**NOTE:**  
1. Evolution: Evolution of indicators obtained by re-scalating those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks:  
Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 and 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress.  
This rate is obtained from the following operation:  
(2009 value - 2008 value) / 2008 value \* 100

2. Value reached by the index: The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.  
3. Stagnant Evolution: In those indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**SOURCES:**  
Women aged 15-49 attended at least once during pregnancy: Global Health Atlas, WHO (www.who.int/global Atlas).  
Births attended by skilled health personnel: Reproductive Health Indicators Database, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO (www.who.int/reproductivehealth/). Except for (+) Demographic and Health Surveys - STAT compiler (www.rhesurveys.com/accesssurveys).  
Contraceptive use among currently in union women aged 15-49: World Bank (www.worldbank.org).

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (Basic Capabilities Index value, 0-100)	WOMEN AGED 15-49 ATTENDED AT LEAST ONCE DURING PREGNANCY BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	BIRTHS ATTENDED BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG CURRENTLY IN UNION WOMEN AGED 15-49 (%)	Value			
→	Lebanon (96)		98		98			
→	Lesotho (72)	90.4		55		73		
→	Liberia (61)		51		11.4	→	31	
	Libya (99)		100				100	
	Lithuania (99)		100				100	
	Luxembourg (100)		100				100	
→	Macedonia (—)		98				98	
→	Madagascar (59)	79.9		45			62	
→	Malawi (62)	93.1		54			74	
	Malaysia (97)		100				100	
→	Maldives (91)	98		84			91	
	Mali (67)	53		41		8.2	→	34
	Malta (99)		100				100	
→	Marshall Islands (93)		95				95	
→	Mauritania (68)	63		53			58	
→	Mauritius (99)		99				99	
→	Mexico (95)		94				94	
→	Micronesia (89)		88				88	
→	Moldova (—)	98		100			99	
→	Mongolia (93)		99				99	
	Montenegro (94)		99				99	
→	Morocco (81)	67.8		63			65	
→	Mozambique (66)	84.5		48			66	
→	Namibia (89)	85		76		55.1	→	72
	Nauru (76)		100				100	
→	Nepal (58)	69.7		19			44	
→	Netherlands (100)		100				100	
	New Zealand (98)		95				95	
→	Nicaragua (70)	85		67		72.4	→	75
→	Niger (55)	46.1		18			32	
→	Nigeria (56)	61		35			48	
	Niue (98)		100				100	
→	Oman (98)		98				98	
→	Pakistan (71)		54		29.6	→	42	
→	Palau (99)		100				100	
	Panama (93)		91				91	
→	Papua New Guinea (62)		38				38	
→	Paraguay (95)		100				100	
→	Peru (88)	85		73		71.3	→	76
	Philippines (78)	87.6		60		50.6	→	66
	Poland (99)		100				100	
	Portugal (99)		100				100	
→	Qatar (95)	62		100			81	
→	Romania (96)	89		98			94	
→	Russia (99)	96		100			98	
→	Rwanda (53)	94.3		28			61	
→	Samoa (97)		100				100	
→	São Tomé and Príncipe (83)	91		81			86	
→	Saudi Arabia (95)		93				93	

**NOTE:**  
1. Evolution: Evolution of indicators obtained by re-scaling those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks:  
Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 and 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress.  
This rate is obtained from the following operation:  
(2009 value - 2008 value) / 2008 value \* 100

2. Value reached by the index: The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.  
3. Stagnant Evolution: In these indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**SOURCES:**  
Women aged 15-49 attended at least once during pregnancy: Global Health Atlas, WHO (www.who.int/global Atlas).  
Births attended by skilled health personnel: Reproductive Health Indicators Database, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO (www.who.int/reproductivehealth/). Except for (+) Demographic and Health Surveys - STAF compiler (www.rnreasuredds.com/accesssurveys).  
Contraceptive use among currently in union women aged 15-49: World Bank (www.worldbank.org).

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (Basic Capabilities Index value, 0-100)	WOMEN AGED 15-49 ATTENDED AT LEAST ONCE DURING PREGNANCY BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	BIRTHS ATTENDED BY SKILLED HEALTH PERSONNEL (%)	CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG CURRENTLY IN UNION WOMEN AGED 15-49 (%)	Value
→	Senegal (68)	87.4	52		70
→	Serbia (98)		99		99
→	Sierra Leone (57)	82	43		63
→	Singapore (92)		100		100
	Slovakia (99)		100		100
	Slovenia (99)		100		100
→	Solomon Islands (—)		43		43
→	Somalia (48)		33		33
→	South Africa (89)	89	92		91
←	Sri Lanka (96)		97	68	83
→	St Kitts and Nevis (95)		100		100
	St Lucia (98)		100		100
→	St Vincent and Grenadines (95)		100		100
→	Sudan (70)		49		49
→	Suriname (82)	91	71		81
→	Swaziland (80)		74	50.6	62
	Switzerland (97)		100		100
→	Syria (95)		93		93
	Tajikistan (89)	75	83	38.3	65
→	Tanzania (73)	94.3	46		70
→	Thailand (96)		97		97
→	Timor-Leste (56)		19	19.8	19
→	Togo (68)	78	62		70
→	Tonga (96)		99		99
→	Trinidad and Tobago (95)	96	98		97
→	Tunisia (95)		90		90
→	Turkey (92)	67	83		75
→	Turkmenistan (88)	87	100		94
	Tuvalu (89)		100		100
→	Uganda (59)	94.1	42		68
	Ukraine (99)	90	100	66.7	86
	United Arab Emirates (100)		100		100
→	United Kingdom (99)		99		99
→	United States of America (98)		100		100
→	Uruguay (98)		100		100
→	Uzbekistan (93)		100		100
→	Vanuatu (87)		92		92
→	Venezuela (94)		95		95
→	Vietnam (93)	70	88		79
→	Yemen (59)	34	20	27.7	27
→	Zambia (71)	93.3	43		68
→	Zimbabwe (77)	94.2	69		82

**NOTE:**  
1. Evolution: Evolution of indicators obtained by re-estimating those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks:  
Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 and 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress.  
This rate is obtained from the following operation:  
(2009 value - 2008 value) / 2008 value \* 100

2. Value reached by the index: The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.  
3. Stagnant Evolution: In those indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**SOURCES:**  
Women aged 15-49 attended at least once during pregnancy: Global Health Atlas, WHO (www.who.int/globalatlas).  
Births attended by skilled health personnel: Reproductive Health Indicators Database, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO (www.who.int/reproductivehealth). Except for (+) Demographic and Health Surveys - STAI compiler (www.measurethis.com/accesssurveys).  
Contraceptive use among currently in union women aged 15-49: World Bank (www.worldbank.org).

**DEFINITION OF INDICATORS:**

**Women aged 15-49 attended at least once during pregnancy by skilled health personnel (%):** Percentage of women aged 15-49 years attended at least once during pregnancy by skilled health personnel (doctors, nurses or midwives).

**Births attended by skilled health personnel (%):** Percentage of births attended by skilled health personnel (doctors, nurses or midwives).

**Contraceptive use among women currently in union aged 15-49 (%):** Percentage of women in union aged 15-49 years currently using contraception.

Methodological notes and guidelines at the end of the section.

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

# Gender and education

## References

**CURRENT SITUATION**  
(latest available data)

- Better situation
- Above average
- Below average
- Worse situation

**RECENT EVOLUTION** (Between most recent and previous available data)

- ➔ Significant progress
- ➔ Slight progress
- || Stagnant
- ➔ Regression
- ➔ Major regression

Complete table at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	LITERACY RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	NET PRIMARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	NET SECONDARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	GROSS TERTIARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
nd	Afghanistan (46)		0.36	0.58	0.35	0.26	39
←	Albania (96)	55	1.00 →	0.93 ←	0.92 ←	1.00	96
	Algeria (96)	53		0.90 ←	1.00	1.00	97
nd	Andorra (93)			0.89	0.98	1.00	96
←	Angola (60)	60	0.75		0.84	0.66 ←	75
nd	Anguilla (—)			0.97	1.00	1.00	99
nd	Antigua and Barbuda (—)			0.96	1.00		98
	Argentina (98)	72	1.00	0.95 ←	1.00	1.00	99
←	Armenia (99)	58	1.00	0.89 ←	0.99 ←	1.00	97
←	Aruba (—)		1.00	0.95 ←	1.00	1.00	99
←	Australia (100)	75		0.95 ←	0.91 ←	1.00	95
←	Austria (99)	71		0.94 ←	0.91 ←	1.00	95
←	Azerbaijan (96)	60	1.00	0.89 ←	0.91 ←	0.84 ←	91
→	Bahamas (99)	79		0.96 →	1.00 →		98
←	Bahrain (99)	46		0.95 ←	0.99 ←	1.00	98
→	Bangladesh (56)	53	0.90 →	1.00	1.00	0.54 ←	86
	Barbados (98)	76		0.97 ←	1.00 →	1.00	99
←	Belarus (100)	66		0.93 ←	0.97 ←	1.00	97
←	Belgium (98)	72		0.96 ←	0.92 ←	1.00	96
←	Belize (92)	63		0.95 ←	1.00	1.00	98
→	Benin (77)	42	0.56 →	0.80 →	0.55 →	0.25 →	54
nd	Bermuda (—)			0.86	1.00	1.00	95
nd	Bhutan (79)			0.98	0.93	0.44	78
→	Bolivia (79)	66		0.96 ←	0.94 ←		95
nd	Bosnia and Herzegovina (—)	61		0.88	0.98		93
→	Botswana (90)	66		0.97 ←	1.00	0.99 →	99
←	Brazil (90)	68		0.89 ←	1.00	1.00	96
←	Brunei Darussalam (99)			0.91	0.95 ←	1.00	96
←	Bulgaria (97)	73	1.00	0.94 ←	0.91 ←	1.00	96
→	Burkina Faso (71)	54	0.71 →	0.84 →	0.72 →	0.48	69
	Burundi (61)	64	0.92 ←	0.93 →	0.72	0.46 ←	76
→	Cambodia (66)	62	0.90	0.89	0.79 →	0.54 →	78
←	Cameroon (77)	51		0.85	0.78	0.78	80
←	Canada (98)	74		0.95 ←	0.93 ←	1.00	96
	Cape Verde (93)	54		0.94 ←	1.00	1.00	98
nd	Cayman Islands (—)		0.99	0.93	0.98	1.00	98
←	Central African Republic (65)	46	0.67 ←	0.72 →		0.29 ←	56
←	Chad (44)	43	0.57 ←	0.69 →	0.44 →	0.14	46
→	Chile (99)	62	1.00	0.92 ←	0.99 →	0.98 →	97
→	China (94)	68	0.99 →	0.87	0.91	0.92 →	92
←	Colombia (94)	75	1.01	0.95 ←	1.00	1.00	99
←	Comoros (79)					0.76 ←	76
←	Congo, DR (75)		0.81 ←	0.81 ←	0.53 ←	0.35	62
→	Congo, Rep. (76)	45		0.92	0.85	0.19 →	65
←	Cook Islands (—)			0.88 ←	1.00		94
←	Costa Rica (93)	67	1.01	0.94 ←	0.99	1.00	98

SOURCE:  
UNESCO Website Database ([www.uis.unesco.org](http://www.uis.unesco.org)), 2009.

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	LITERACY RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	NET PRIMARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	NET SECONDARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	GROSS TERTIARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
→	Côte d'Ivoire (74)	39	0.74 ←	0.79 →	0.55 →	0.50 →	64
	Croatia (100)	75	1.00	0.92 ←	0.99 ←	1.00	99
←	Cuba (99)	70	1.00	0.95 ←	0.97 ←	1.00	98
←	Czech Republic (99)	68	1.00	0.94 ←	0.96 ←	1.00	97
←	Denmark (100)	79	1.00	0.95 ←	0.98 ←	1.00	98
←	Djibouti (90)	47	0.87 →	0.87 →	0.69	0.68 ←	74
nd	Dominica (96)		0.94 →	0.94 →	1.00	1.00	97
←	Dominican Republic (87)	65	1.02	0.90 ←	1.00	1.00	98
←	Ecuador (86)	72	1.00 →	0.96 ←	0.98 ←	1.00	98
	Egypt (89)	44	0.93 →	0.91 ←	0.90 ←	1.00	91
	El Salvador (80)	68	1.01 →	0.96 ←	1.00 →	1.00	99
→	Equatorial Guinea (58)	44	1.00 →	0.95 →	0.57 →	0.43 ←	74
←	Eritrea (60)	47	0.81 →	0.82 ←	0.71 ←	0.15 ←	62
←	Estonia (99)	73	1.00	0.93 ←	0.97 ←	1.00	98
←	Ethiopia (53)	53	0.72 ←	0.87 →	0.67 ←	0.34 ←	65
←	Fiji (93)		0.92 ←	0.92 ←	1.00	1.00	97
←	Finland (100)	84	1.00	0.96 ←	1.00	1.00	99
←	France (99)	72	1.00	0.94 ←	0.96 ←	1.00	97
←	Gabon (82)	53	0.97 →	0.49 ←	0.86 ←	1.00	78
←	Gambia (73)	50	1.00	1.00 →	0.94 →	0.24 ←	73
←	Georgia (96)	62	0.89 →	0.89 ←	0.95 ←	1.00 →	95
←	Germany (99)	78	0.95 →	0.95 ←	0.93 ←	1.00	94
	Ghana (76)	58	0.86 ←	0.94	0.85 ←	0.52 →	79
nd	Gibraltar (—)		0.92 →	0.92 →	0.96	1.00	94
←	Greece (99)	65	1.00	0.95 ←	0.89 ←	1.00	96
nd	Grenada (92)		0.95 →	0.95 →	0.96	1.00	96
→	Guatemala (68)	51	0.91 →	0.92 ←	0.92 ←	1.00	94
←	Guinea (68)		0.57 →	0.83 →	0.55 →	0.27 ←	55
←	Guinea-Bissau (60)	47	0.67 →	0.67 →	0.55 ←	0.19 ←	47
←	Guyana (84)	60	0.95 →	0.95 ←	0.95 ←	1.00	97
	Honduras (82)	69	1.03	0.96 ←	1.00	1.00	99
←	Hong Kong (—)	75	0.92 →	0.92 →	0.95 ←	1.00	96
←	Hungary (99)	70	0.93 ←	0.93 ←	0.95 ←	1.00	96
←	Iceland (98)	78	0.96 →	0.96 ←	1.00	1.00	99
→	India (68)	41	0.80 ←	0.87 →	0.76 →	0.66 →	78
→	Indonesia (85)	55	0.99	0.93 ←	0.97 →	0.98 →	97
	Iran (97)	54	0.99 →	1.00 →	0.89 ←	1.00	97
→	Iraq (88)		0.91 →	0.80 →	0.64 ←	0.57 →	73
←	Ireland (100)	69	0.94 →	0.94 →	1.00	1.00	98
←	Israel (100)	72	0.96 →	0.96 ←	0.95 ←	1.00	97
←	Italy (100)	64	1.00	0.93 ←	0.94 ←	1.00	97
	Jamaica (94)	61	1.10	0.96 ←	1.00	1.00	99
←	Japan (100)	59	0.95 →	0.95 ←	0.95 ←	0.84 ←	92
←	Jordan (99)	47	1.00	0.97 →	0.98 ←	1.00	99
←	Kazakhstan (99)	74	1.00	0.95 ←	0.94 ←	1.00	97
←	Kenya (71)	59	1.01 →	0.97 ←	0.87 ←	0.57 ←	85
nd	Kiribati (89)		0.98 →	0.98 →	1.00	1.00	99
←	Korea, Rep. (100)	53	0.91 ←	0.91 ←	0.88 ←	0.61 →	80
←	Kuwait (100)	49	1.00	0.95 ←	0.96 ←	1.00	98
	Kyrgyzstan (98)	70	1.00	0.97	0.98	1.00	99
→	Laos (58)	52	0.88 →	0.86 ←	0.77 ←	0.71 →	81
←	Latvia (99)	75	1.00	0.92 ←	0.97 ←	1.00	97
	Lebanon (96)	47	1.01 →	0.94 ←	1.00	1.00	98
	Lesotho (72)	64	0.98 →	0.98 ←	1.00	1.00	99
→	Liberia (65)		0.88 →	0.88 →	0.71 →	0.75 →	78
→	Libya (99)		0.91 →	0.91 →	1.00	1.00	97

SOURCE:  
UNESCO Website Database (www.unesco.org), 2009.

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)



Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES	COUNTRIES	LITERACY RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)		NET PRIMARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)		NET SECONDARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)		GROSS TERTIARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)		Value
	(BCI value, 0-100)	(IEG value)									
nd	Liechtenstein (—)				1.00		0.85		0.47	77	
←	Lithuania (99)	76	1.00		0.94	←	0.96	←	1.00		97
←	Luxembourg (100)	61			0.95	←	0.99		1.00		98
	Macao (—)		1.00	→	0.88	←	0.97	←	0.95	→	95
←	Macedonia (—)	67	0.99		0.93	←	0.92	←	1.00		96
←	Madagascar (59)		0.94	→	0.97	←	0.95	←	0.89	←	94
←	Malawi (62)		0.86	→	1.00		1.00	→	0.51		84
←	Malaysia (97)	58	1.00		0.94	←	1.00		1.00		99
←	Maldives (91)	61	1.00		0.92	←	1.00		1.00		98
→	Mali (67)	53	0.65	→	0.80	→	0.64		0.53		65
←	Malta (99)	58	1.02		0.92		0.94		1.00		97
nd	Marshall Islands (91)				0.92		0.97		1.00		96
→	Mauritania (68)	51	0.82	→	1.00	→	0.84	→	0.34	→	75
←	Mauritius (99)	60	1.02		0.97	←	0.97	←	1.00		98
	Mexico (95)	61	1.00		0.95	←	1.00		1.00	→	99
nd	Micronesia (—)				0.98		0.97				97
←	Moldova (—)	74			0.94	←	1.00		1.00		98
nd	Monaco (—)				0.90		0.92				91
	Mongolia (93)	70	1.01		0.98	←	1.00		1.00		99
nd	Montserrat (92)				0.97		0.87				92
	Morocco (81)	45	0.75	←	0.87	←	0.84		0.91	→	84
←	Mozambique (66)	64	0.61	←	0.87	→	0.73	→	0.50		68
	Myanmar (73)		0.98	←	0.98		1.00	→	1.00		99
←	Namibia (89)	71	1.03		0.98	←	1.00		0.88	←	97
←	Nauru (76)				0.94	←	1.00				97
→	Nepal (58)	51	0.75	→	0.96	→	0.88		0.38	→	74
←	Netherlands (100)	77			0.93	←	0.94	←	1.00		96
←	Netherlands Antilles (—)		1.00		0.97	→	1.00		0.42	←	85
←	New Zealand (97)	78			0.95	←	0.97	←	1.00		97
←	Nicaragua (70)	52	1.04		0.94	←	1.00		1.00		99
←	Niger (55)	47	0.44		0.71	→	0.61	←	0.40	←	54
←	Nigeria (66)	44	0.77	←	0.83		0.79		0.69		77
nd	Niue (94)				1.00		0.92				96
←	Norway (100)	83			0.95	←	0.94	←	1.00		96
←	Oman (98)	46	0.99	→	0.96	←	0.92	←	1.00		97
→	Pakistan (71)	43	0.74	→	0.77	→	0.72		0.80		76
←	Palau (96)				0.92	←	0.98	←	1.00		97
←	Panama (93)	70	0.99		0.93	←	1.00		1.00		98
→	Papua New Guinea (61)		0.93	→	0.80						86
←	Paraguay (95)	67	0.97	←	0.94	←	0.99		1.00		98
→	Peru (88)	70	0.99	→	0.96		1.00	→	1.00		99
←	Philippines (78)	76	1.03		0.94	←	1.00		1.00		99
←	Poland (99)	70			0.94	←	0.94	←	1.00		96
←	Portugal (99)	73	1.00		0.90		1.00		1.00		98
←	Qatar (95)	48	0.99		0.95	←	0.98	←	1.00		98
←	Romania (98)	71	1.00		0.94	←	0.95	←	1.00		97
←	Russia (100)	71	1.00		0.95		0.94		1.00		97
→	Rwanda (53)	84	0.98	→	1.00		0.91		0.64	→	88
←	Samoa (97)	49	0.99		0.92	←	1.00		0.80	←	93
nd	San Marino (—)				0.91		0.95		1.00		95
nd	Sao Tomé and Príncipe (83)	49	0.99		0.96		1.00				98
	Saudi Arabia (96)	43	0.98	→	0.95	→	0.92	←	1.00		96
←	Senegal (68)	55	0.77	→	0.98	→	0.76		0.55		76
nd	Seychelles (99)		1.00		0.97		1.00				99
→	Sierra Leone (59)	45	0.63		0.91		0.69	←	0.40	→	66
←	Singapore (100)	63	1.00		0.93		0.93		0.94		95
←	Slovakia (99)	69			0.95	←	0.96	←	1.00		97

SOURCE:  
UNESCO Website Database (www.unesco.org), 2009.

For more detailed information on the reference  
years of the data see complete tables at:  
www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	LITERACY RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	NET PRIMARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	NET SECONDARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	GROSS TERTIARY ENROLMENT RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value				
←	Slovenia (99)	65	1.00		0.94	←	0.94	←	0.39	←	82
nd	Solomon Islands (—)	47			0.88		0.77				82
nd	Somalia (—)				0.54						54
	South Africa (89)	75	1.00		0.95	←	1.00		1.00		99
←	Spain (100)	77	1.00		0.94	←	1.00		1.00		98
←	Sri Lanka (96)	58	1.01		0.96	←	0.98				98
nd	St Kitts and Nevis (95)				0.98		0.98				98
→	St Lucia (98)	71			0.95	←	1.00		1.00	→	98
nd	St Vincent and Grenadines (95)	60			0.93		1.00				97
←	Sudan (70)	43	0.84	←	0.83		0.90		0.89	←	86
	Suriname (82)	56	0.98		0.92	←	1.00		1.00		97
	Swaziland (80)	49	1.03		0.92	←	0.89	←	0.99	→	95
←	Sweden (100)	88			0.95	←	0.94	←	1.00		96
	Switzerland (99)	62			0.94	←	0.90	←	0.91	→	92
→	Syria (95)	54	0.95	→	0.92	←	0.93	→			93
→	Tajikistan (89)	52	1.00		0.93		0.82	←	0.38	→	78
←	Tanzania (73)	72	0.94	←	0.99		0.81	←	0.48		81
	Thailand (94)	70	1.00		0.94	←	1.00		1.00		98
nd	Timor-Leste (53)	66			0.90		0.95		1.00		95
→	Togo (68)	47	0.76	→	0.87	→	0.53	→			72
nd	Tokelau (—)				1.00		0.82				91
←	Tonga (96)		1.00		0.89	←	0.94	←	1.00		96
←	Trinidad and Tobago (95)	70			0.94	←	1.00		1.00		98
	Tunisia (95)	50	0.96	→	0.91	←	1.00		1.00	→	97
→	Turkey (92)	46	0.96	→	0.91		0.80	→	0.74		85
nd	Turkmenistan (—)		1.00								100
nd	Turks and Caicos Islands (—)				1.00		0.92				96
←	Tuvalu (89)				0.92	←	0.86				89
→	Uganda (59)	67	0.89	→	0.99	→	0.82	→	0.62	→	83
←	Ukraine (98)	69	1.00		0.95	←	0.95		1.00		97
←	United Arab Emirates (100)	50	1.04		0.94	←	0.95	←	1.00		97
←	United Kingdom (99)	74			0.96	←	0.97	←	1.00		98
←	United States of America (98)	74			0.95	←	0.96	←	1.00		97
←	Uruguay (98)	69	1.01		0.93	←	0.95	←	1.00		97
←	Uzbekistan (—)	57	1.00		0.94	←	0.95		0.69		90
←	Vanuatu (87)	54			0.91	←	0.81	←	0.57		76
←	Venezuela (93)	68	1.01		0.93	←	1.00		1.00		98
→	Viet Nam (93)	74	0.99		0.92	←	0.98		0.97	→	97
nd	Virgin Islands (UK) (—)				0.95		1.00		1.00		98
	West Bank and Gaza (—)		1.00		0.96	←	1.00		1.00	→	99
→	Yemen (59)	30	0.43	←	0.71	→	0.47	→	0.35	→	49
←	Zambia (71)	56	0.85	←	0.96	←	0.88	→	0.46	←	79
→	Zimbabwe (77)	58	0.98	→	0.98	←	0.93		0.64	→	88

SOURCE: UNESCO Website Database (www.uis.unesco.org), 2009. For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009

**NOTE:**

1. **Evolution:** Evolution of indicators obtained by re-escalating those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks:  
*Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 and 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress.*

This rate is obtained from the following operation:  
 $(2009 \text{ value} - 2008 \text{ value} / 2008 \text{ value}) * 100$

2. **Value reached by the index:** The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.

3. **Stagnant Evolution:** In those indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**DEFINITION OF INDICATORS:**

**Literacy ratio gap (women/men):**  
Ratio of female literacy ratio (15-24 years old) to male literacy ratio (15-24 years old).

**Net primary enrolment ratio gap (women/men):**  
Ratio of female net primary enrolment ratio to male net primary enrolment ratio.

**Net secondary enrolment ratio gap (women/men):**  
Ratio of female net secondary enrolment ratio to male net secondary enrolment ratio.

**Gross tertiary enrolment ratio gap (women/men):**  
Ratio of female gross tertiary enrolment ratio to male gross tertiary enrolment ratio.

Methodological notes and guidelines at the end of the section.

# Gender and empowerment

## References

**CURRENT SITUATION**  
(latest available data)

- Better situation
- Above average
- Below average
- Worse situation

**RECENT EVOLUTION** (Between most recent and previous available data)

- ➔ Significant progress
- ➔ Slight progress
- || Stagnant
- ➔ Regression
- ➔ Major regression

Complete table at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	FEMALE PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL WORKERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	FEMALE LEGISLATORS, SENIOR OFFICIALS AND MANAGERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	SEATS IN PARLIAMENT HELD BY WOMEN GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	WOMEN IN DECISION-MAKING POSITIONS IN GOVERNMENT AT MINISTERIAL LEVEL GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
➔	Afghanistan (46)				0.38		38
➔	Albania (96)	55			0.08	➔	7
➔	Algeria (96)	53	0.47		0.08	➔	22
➔	Andorra (93)				0.47	➔	47
➔	Angola (60)	60			0.59	➔	33
➔	Antigua and Barbuda (—)		1.00	0.82	0.12	➔	53
➔	Argentina (98)	72	1.00	0.49	0.67	➔	56
➔	Armenia (99)	58			0.09	➔	5
➔	Australia (100)	75	1.00	➔ 0.59	➔ 0.36	➔ 0.25	55
➔	Austria (99)	71	0.96	➔ 0.37	➔ 0.39	➔ 0.55	57
➔	Azerbaijan (96)	60			0.13	➔ 0.18	15
➔	Bahamas (99)	79	1.00	0.85	➔ 0.14	➔ 0.36	59
➔	Bahrain (99)	46			0.03	➔ 0.10	6
➔	Bangladesh (56)	53	0.14	➔ 0.30	➔ 0.23	➔ 0.09	19
➔	Barbados (98)	76	1.00	0.75	➔ 0.11	➔ 0.42	57
➔	Belarus (100)	66			0.47	➔ 0.11	29
➔	Belgium (98)	72	0.96	➔ 0.47	➔ 0.55	➔ 0.27	56
➔	Belize (92)	63	1.00	0.69	➔ 0.00	➔ 0.07	44
➔	Benin (77)	42			0.12	➔ 0.23	18
➔	Bhutan (79)				0.09	➔	9
➔	Bolivia (79)	66	0.67	0.56	➔ 0.20	➔ 0.07	38
	Bosnia and Herzegovina (—)	61			0.14	➔ 0.12	13
➔	Botswana (90)	66	1.00	0.49	➔ 0.12	➔ 0.36	50
➔	Brazil (90)	68	1.00	0.52	➔ 0.10	➔ 0.13	44
➔	Brunei Darussalam (99)		0.79	0.35		➔ 0.10	41
➔	Bulgaria (97)	73	1.00	0.52	➔ 0.28	➔ 0.31	53
➔	Burkina Faso (71)	54			0.18	➔ 0.17	18
➔	Burundi (61)	64			0.44	➔ 0.12	28
➔	Cambodia (66)	62	0.49	0.16	➔ 0.19	➔ 0.08	23
➔	Cameroon (77)	51			0.16	➔ 0.12	14
➔	Canada (98)	74	1.00	0.56	➔ 0.28	➔ 0.30	54
➔	Cape Verde (93)	54			0.22	➔ 0.23	23
➔	Central African Republic (65)	46			0.12	➔ 0.11	11
➔	Chad (44)	43			0.05	➔ 0.13	9
➔	Chile (99)	62	1.00	0.33	➔ 0.18	➔ 0.20	43
➔	China (94)	68	1.00	0.20	➔ 0.27	➔ 0.07	39
➔	Colombia (94)	75	1.00	➔ 0.61	➔ 0.09	➔ 0.56	56
➔	Comoros (79)				0.03		3
nd	Congo, DR (75)					0.14	14
➔	Congo, Rep. (76)	45			0.08	➔ 0.17	13
➔	Costa Rica (93)	67	0.67	➔ 0.33	➔ 0.58	➔ 0.33	48
➔	Côte d'Ivoire (74)	39			0.10	➔ 0.21	15
➔	Croatia (100)	75	1.00	0.32	➔ 0.43	➔ 0.50	56

**SOURCES:**  
UNESCO Website Database ([www.uis.unesco.org](http://www.uis.unesco.org)), 2009.  
IPU Database, 2009. ([www.ipu.org](http://www.ipu.org))

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	FEMALE PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL WORKERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	FEMALE LEGISLATORS, SENIOR OFFICIALS AND MANAGERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	SEATS IN PARLIAMENT HELD BY WOMEN GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	WOMEN IN DECISION-MAKING POSITIONS IN GOVERNMENT AT MINISTERIAL LEVEL GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
→	Cuba (99)	70	1.00	0.52	0.76	0.19	62
→	Cyprus (100)	65	0.82	0.18	0.17	0.00	29
	Czech Republic (99)	68	1.00	0.43	0.18	0.12	43
←	Denmark (100)	79	1.00	0.33	0.61	0.50	61
→	Djibouti (90)	47			0.16	0.06	11
→	Dominica (96)		1.00	0.92	0.23	0.00	54
→	Dominican Republic (87)	65	1.00	0.47	0.25	0.17	47
→	Ecuador (86)	72	0.92	0.54		0.17	54
→	Egypt (89)	44	0.43	0.10	0.02	0.06	15
→	El Salvador (80)	68	0.82	0.49	0.23	0.55	52
→	Equatorial Guinea (58)	44			0.11	0.05	8
→	Eritrea (60)	47			0.28	0.21	25
→	Estonia (99)	73	1.00	0.59	0.26	0.18	51
→	Ethiopia (53)	53	0.43	0.25	0.28	0.06	26
→	Fiji (93)					0.10	10
→	Finland (100)	84	1.00	0.43	0.71	0.89	76
→	France (99)	72	0.89	0.59	0.22	0.21	48
→	Gabon (82)	53			0.20	0.13	17
←	Gambia (73)	50			0.10	0.25	18
→	Georgia (96)	62	1.00	0.35	0.06	0.29	43
→	Germany (99)	78	1.00	0.59	0.47	0.86	73
→	Ghana (76)	58			0.09	0.13	11
→	Greece (99)	65	0.96	0.35	0.17	0.06	39
→	Grenada (92)				0.15	0.67	41
→	Guatemala (68)	51			0.14	0.33	23
→	Guinea (68)					0.18	18
→	Guinea-Bissau (60)	47			0.11	0.60	36
→	Guyana (84)	60			0.43	0.29	36
→	Haiti (—)				0.04	0.33	19
→	Honduras (82)	69	1.00	0.69	0.31	0.17	54
→	Hong Kong (—)	75	0.67	0.37	0.83		62
→	Hungary (99)	70	1.00	0.54	0.12	0.13	45
→	Iceland (98)	78	1.00	0.37	0.46	0.38	55
←	India (68)	41			0.12	0.04	8
→	Indonesia (85)	55			0.20	0.12	16
→	Iran (97)	54	0.52	0.19	0.04	0.07	21
→	Iraq (88)				0.34		34
→	Ireland (100)	69	1.00	0.45	0.15	0.27	47
→	Israel (100)	72	1.00	0.35	0.21	0.20	44
→	Italy (100)	64	0.85	0.47	0.27	0.09	42
→	Jamaica (94)	61			0.15	0.21	18
→	Japan (100)	59	0.85	0.11	0.10	0.14	30
→	Jordan (99)	47			0.07	0.12	9
→	Kazakhstan (99)	74	1.00	0.61	0.19	0.21	50
→	Kenya (71)	59			0.11	0.11	11
←	Kiribati (89)				0.04		4
←	Korea DR (—)				0.18		18
→	Korea, Rep. (100)	53	0.64	0.09	0.16	0.06	24
→	Kuwait (100)	49			0.08	0.00	4
→	Kyrgyzstan (98)	70	1.00	0.33	0.34	0.14	46
→	Laos (58)	52			0.34	0.00	17
→	Latvia (99)	75	1.00	0.72	0.25	0.31	57
→	Lebanon (96)	47			0.05	0.07	6
→	Lesotho (72)	64			0.33	0.39	36

**SOURCES:**  
UNESCO Website Database (www.uis.unesco.org), 2009.  
IPU Database, 2009, (www.ipu.org)

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: www.socialwatch.org/statistics/2009

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	FEMALE PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL WORKERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	FEMALE LEGISLATORS, SENIOR OFFICIALS AND MANAGERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	SEATS IN PARLIAMENT HELD BY WOMEN GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	WOMEN IN DECISION-MAKING POSITIONS IN GOVERNMENT AT MINISTERIAL LEVEL GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
→	Liberia (65)				0.14 →		14
→	Libya (99)				0.08		8
→	Liechtenstein (—)				0.32 →		32
→	Lithuania (99)	76	1.00		0.75 ←	0.22 →	54
→	Luxembourg (100)	61			0.30 →	0.17 ←	24
→	Macedonia (—)	67	1.00		0.41	0.39 →	50
←	Madagascar (59)					0.06 ←	6
→	Malawi (62)					0.17 →	17
←	Malaysia (97)	58	0.67	←	0.30 →	0.12	30
→	Maldives (91)	61	0.67		0.18 ←	0.07 →	26
←	Mali (67)	53			0.11 →	0.23 ←	17
→	Malta (99)	58	0.61		0.25	0.10 →	29
→	Marshall Islands (91)				0.03 →		3
→	Mauritania (68)	51			0.28	0.10 →	19
→	Mauritius (99)	60	0.75		0.33	0.21 →	35
→	Mexico (95)	61	0.72	→	0.41 →	0.30	38
←	Micronesia (—)				0.00	←	0
→	Moldova (—)	74	1.00		0.64 →	0.31 →	52
→	Monaco (—)				0.33 →		33
→	Mongolia (93)	70	1.00		1.00	0.04 ←	53
nd	Montenegro (—)				0.07		7
→	Morocco (81)	45	0.54		0.14	0.12 ←	21
→	Mozambique (66)	64			0.53 →	0.15 →	34
→	Namibia (89)	71	1.00		0.43	0.37 →	51
←	Nauru (76)				0.00	←	0
→	Nepal (58)	51	0.23		0.09	0.50 →	22
→	Netherlands (100)	77	1.00	→	0.35	0.70 →	65
→	New Zealand (97)	78	1.00		0.56 ←	0.51 →	59
→	Nicaragua (70)	52			0.23	←	20
→	Niger (55)	47			0.14 →	0.30 →	22
→	Nigeria (66)	44			0.08 →	0.11 →	9
→	Norway (100)	83	1.00	→	0.43 →	0.56	70
→	Oman (98)	46	0.49		0.10	0.00	18
←	Pakistan (71)	43	0.35		0.02 ←	0.29 →	18
←	Palau (96)				0.00	←	0
→	Panama (93)	70	1.00	→	0.75 →	0.09 ←	50
→	Papua New Guinea (61)				0.01	←	1
→	Paraguay (95)	67	1.00		0.30	0.14 →	47
→	Peru (88)	70	0.85	→	0.52 →	0.38 →	47
→	Philippines (78)	76	1.00		1.00	0.26 →	65
←	Poland (99)	70	1.00		0.49 →	0.25 →	45
→	Portugal (99)	73	1.00		0.52 →	0.39 →	53
→	Qatar (95)	48	0.32		0.09	0.00	12
→	Romania (98)	71	1.00		0.41	0.13 →	42
→	Russia (100)	71	1.00		0.64 →	0.16 →	45
→	Rwanda (53)	84				1.00 →	78
→	Samoa (97)	49			0.09 →	0.08 →	9
→	San Marino (—)				0.22	←	20
→	Sao Tomé and Príncipe (83)	49			0.08	←	12
→	Saudi Arabia (96)	43	0.06		0.45	0.00	13
→	Senegal (68)	55			0.28 →	0.26 →	27
nd	Serbia (—)				0.28		28
←	Seychelles (99)				0.31	←	23
→	Sierra Leone (59)	45			0.15	←	15

SOURCES:  
UNESCO Website Database (www.unis.unesco.org), 2009.  
IPU Database, 2009. (www.ipu.org)

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	FEMALE PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL WORKERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	FEMALE LEGISLATORS, SENIOR OFFICIALS AND MANAGERS GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	SEATS IN PARLIAMENT HELD BY WOMEN GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	WOMEN IN DECISION-MAKING POSITIONS IN GOVERNMENT AT MINISTERIAL LEVEL GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
→	Singapore (100)	63	0.79 →	0.35 →	0.32 →	0.00 ←	37
←	Slovakia (99)	69	1.00 =	0.45 =	0.24 →	0.00 ←	42
→	Slovenia (99)	65	1.00 =	0.49 →	0.15 →	0.07 →	43
←	Solomon Islands (—)	47			0.00 ←	0.00 ←	0
→	Somalia (—)				0.06		6
→	South Africa (89)	75			0.77 →	0.71	74
→	Spain (100)	77	0.92 →	0.47 =	0.57 →	1.00 →	74
→	Sri Lanka (96)	58	0.85 ←	0.27 →	0.06 →	0.11 ←	32
←	St Kitts and Nevis (95)				0.07 ←	0.00 ←	3
→	St Lucia (98)	71	1.00	1.00	0.12 →	0.09 ←	55
→	St Vincent and Grenadines (95)	60			0.22 ←	0.25 →	24
→	Sudan (70)	43			0.22 →	0.03 →	12
←	Suriname (82)	56			0.34 →	0.13 →	24
→	Swaziland (80)	49			0.16 →	0.15 →	16
→	Sweden (100)	88	1.00 →	0.43 =	0.89 →	1.00 →	83
←	Switzerland (99)	62	0.28 ←	0.09 ←	0.40 →	0.17 ←	23
→	Syria (95)	54	0.67		0.14 →	0.07 ←	29
→	Tajikistan (89)	52			0.21 →	0.03 ←	12
→	Tanzania (73)	72	0.47	0.96	0.44 →	0.18 →	51
→	Thailand (94)	70	1.00 =	0.41 →	0.13 →	0.08 →	41
=	Timor-Leste (53)	66			0.41 →	0.29	35
→	Togo (68)	47			0.12 →	0.25 →	19
nd	Tonga (96)				0.03		3
→	Trinidad and Tobago (95)	70	1.00 =	0.75 →	0.37 →	0.22 →	59
→	Tunisia (95)	50			0.30 →	0.08 →	19
→	Turkey (92)	46	0.47 →	0.08 ←	0.10 →	0.04 ←	17
←	Turkmenistan (—)				0.20 ←	0.10 →	15
←	Tuvalu (89)				0.00 ←		0
→	Uganda (59)	67			0.44 →	0.31 →	37
→	Ukraine (98)	69	1.00 =	0.61 →	0.09 →	0.06 →	44
→	United Arab Emirates (100)	50	0.33 →	0.09 →	0.29 →	0.06 →	19
→	United Kingdom (99)	74	0.89 →	0.52 →	0.24 →	0.40 →	51
←	United States of America (98)	74	1.00 =	0.72 ←	0.20 →	0.17 ←	52
=	Uruguay (98)	69	1.00 =	0.67 →	0.14 →	0.00 ←	45
→	Uzbekistan (—)	57			0.21 →	0.04 →	12
→	Vanuatu (87)	54			0.04 →	0.09 →	7
→	Venezuela (93)	68	1.00 =	0.37 →	0.23 →	0.16 →	44
→	Viet Nam (93)	74	1.00 =	0.28	0.35 ←	0.13 →	44
→	Yemen (59)	30	0.18 →	0.04 →	0.00 ←	0.03 →	6
→	Zambia (71)	56			0.18 →	0.33 →	26
→	Zimbabwe (77)	58			0.18 →	0.17 →	18

**SOURCES:**  
UNESCO Website Database (www.uis.unesco.org), 2009.  
IPU Database, 2009. (www.ipu.org)

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

**NOTE:**

**1. Evolution:** Evolution of indicators obtained by re-escalating those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks:  
*Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 and 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress.*

This rate is obtained from the following operation:  $(2009 \text{ value} - 2008 \text{ value} / 2008 \text{ value}) * 100$

**2. Value reached by the index:** The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.

**3. Stagnant Evolution:** In those indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**DEFINITION OF INDICATORS:**

**Female professional and technical workers:**  
Women's share of positions defined according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-88) to include physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals (and associate professionals), life science and health professionals (and associate professionals), teaching professionals (and associate professionals) and other professionals and associate professionals.

**Female legislators, senior officials and managers:**  
Women's share of positions defined according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-88) to include legislators, senior government officials, traditional chiefs and heads of villages, senior officials of special interest organizations, corporate managers, directors and chief executives, production and operations department managers and other department and general managers.

**Women in decision-making positions in government at ministerial level:** Women as a percentage of total decision-making positions in government. Data were provided by states based on their definition of national executive and may therefore include women serving as ministers and vice ministers and those holding other ministerial positions, including parliamentary secretaries.

**Seats in parliament held by women:** Seats held by women in a lower or single house, where relevant, as percentage of total seats.

# Gender and economy

## References

**CURRENT SITUATION**  
(latest available data)

- Better situation
- Above average
- Below average
- Worse situation

**RECENT EVOLUTION** (Between most recent and previous available data)

- Significant progress
- Slight progress
- = Stagnant
- ← Regression
- ← Major regression

Complete table at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	ACTIVITY RATE GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	ESTIMATED EARNED INCOME RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
←	Albania (96)	55	0.70	←	62
←	Algeria (96)	53	0.45	→	39
←	Angola (60)	60	0.81	←	71
→	Argentina (98)	72	0.70	→	62
←	Armenia (99)	58	0.79	←	71
→	Australia (100)	75	0.80	→	75
→	Austria (99)	71	0.76	→	61
←	Azerbaijan (96)	60	0.82	→	73
→	Bahamas (99)	79	0.91	→	81
←	Bahrain (99)	46	0.33	←	34
←	Bangladesh (56)	53	0.61	←	53
→	Barbados (98)	76	0.83	→	73
←	Belarus (100)	66	0.82	=	73
→	Belgium (98)	72	0.73	→	64
→	Belize (92)	63	0.52	→	46
←	Benin (77)	42	0.62	←	55
←	Bhutan (79)		0.58	←	53
→	Bolivia (79)	66	0.74	→	66
→	Bosnia and Herzegovina (—)	61	0.86	→	76
←	Botswana (90)	66	0.67	←	49
→	Brazil (90)	68	0.71	→	65
←	Brunei Darussalam (99)		0.55	←	48
←	Bulgaria (97)	73	0.78	←	72
←	Burkina Faso (71)	54	0.87	→	77
=	Burundi (61)	64	0.99	→	88
←	Cambodia (66)	62	0.93	←	84
←	Cameroon (77)	51	0.65	→	57
→	Canada (98)	74	0.84	→	74
←	Cape Verde (93)	54	0.45	←	40
←	Central African Republic (65)	46	0.79	→	70
←	Chad (44)	43	0.85	→	75
→	Chile (99)	62	0.52	→	46
←	China (94)	68	0.83	←	73
→	Colombia (94)	75	0.76	→	70
←	Comoros (79)		0.67	←	59
←	Congo, DR (75)		0.68	←	60
←	Congo, Rep. (76)	45	0.65	←	57
→	Costa Rica (93)	67	0.56	→	54
←	Côte d'Ivoire (74)	39	0.44	←	38

SOURCE:  
UNDP Human Development Report 2009.

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)



Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES  (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES  (IEG value)	ACTIVITY RATE GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	ESTIMATED EARNED INCOME RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
→	Croatia (100)	75	0.74 →	0.67 →	71
←	Cuba (99)	70	0.59 ←	0.45	52
→	Cyprus (100)	65	0.76 →	0.60 →	68
←	Czech Republic (99)	68	0.77 ←	0.51 ←	64
→	Denmark (100)	79	0.84 =	0.73 →	79
nd	Djibouti (90)	47	0.64	0.48	56
→	Dominican Republic (87)	65	0.57 →	0.43 →	50
→	Ecuador (86)	72	0.73 →	0.56 →	64
←	Egypt (89)	44	0.27 ←	0.23 ←	25
→	El Salvador (80)	68	0.62 →	0.40 →	51
←	Equatorial Guinea (58)	44	0.56 →	0.43	49
←	Eritrea (60)	47	0.64 ←	0.45	54
←	Estonia (99)	73	0.80 ←	0.62 ←	71
→	Ethiopia (53)	53	0.79 →	0.60	70
→	Fiji (93)		0.64 →	0.48	56
=	Finland (100)	84	0.86 ←	0.71 →	79
←	France (99)	72	0.79 →	0.64	72
←	Gabon (82)	53	0.75 ←	0.57	66
←	Gambia (73)	50	0.69 ←	0.53	61
←	Georgia (96)	62	0.66 ←	0.33 ←	50
→	Germany (99)	78	0.77 →	0.58 →	68
←	Ghana (76)	58	0.94 ←	0.71	83
→	Greece (99)	65	0.67 →	0.55 →	61
←	Guatemala (68)	51	0.41 ←	0.32	37
←	Guinea (68)		0.91 →	0.69	80
←	Guinea-Bissau (60)	47	0.66 →	0.51	58
←	Guyana (84)	60	0.53 →	0.41	47
←	Haiti (—)		0.67 ←	0.52	60
→	Honduras (82)	69	0.61 →	0.46 →	54
→	Hong Kong (—)	75	0.76 →	0.56	66
→	Hungary (99)	70	0.73 →	0.64 →	68
→	Iceland (98)	78	0.86 →	0.72 →	79
←	India (68)	41	0.42 ←	0.31	37
←	Indonesia (85)	55	0.60 ←	0.46	53
→	Iran (97)	54	0.52 →	0.39	46
→	Ireland (100)	69	0.74 →	0.53 →	63
→	Israel (100)	72	0.85 →	0.65 →	75
→	Italy (100)	64	0.62 →	0.47 →	55
←	Jamaica (94)	61	0.73 ←	0.56	65
←	Japan (100)	59	0.66 ←	0.45 ←	55
←	Jordan (99)	47	0.36 =	0.31	34
←	Kazakhstan (99)	74	0.87 →	0.63	75
←	Kenya (71)	59	0.78 ←	0.83	81
←	Korea, Rep. (100)	53	0.68 ←	0.40 ←	54
←	Kuwait (100)	49	0.58 →	0.35	46
←	Kyrgyzstan (98)	70	0.74 ←	0.58	66
←	Laos (58)	52	0.67 ←	0.51	59
←	Latvia (99)	75	0.77 ←	0.65 ←	71

SOURCE:  
UNDP Human Development Report 2009.

For more detailed information on the reference  
years of the data see complete tables at:  
[www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)



Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	ACTIVITY RATE GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	ESTIMATED EARNED INCOME RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value	
←	Lebanon (96)	47	0.41	→	0.31	36
→	Lesotho (72)	64	0.63	→	0.52	58
→	Libya (99)		0.40	→	0.30	35
→	Lithuania (99)	76	0.82	→	0.69	76
→	Luxembourg (100)	61	0.69	→	0.51	60
←	Macedonia (—)	67	0.63	←	0.48	56
→	Madagascar (59)		0.92	→	0.70	81
←	Malawi (62)		0.95	→	0.73	84
←	Malaysia (97)	58	0.57	←	0.36	47
←	Maldives (91)	61	0.67	←	0.50	59
←	Mali (67)	53	0.87	→	0.68	77
→	Malta (99)	58	0.49	→	0.50	50
←	Mauritania (68)	51	0.65	←	0.50	57
←	Mauritius (99)	60	0.54	→	0.41	47
→	Mexico (95)	61	0.50	→	0.39	44
←	Moldova (—)	74	0.81	→	0.63	72
←	Mongolia (93)	70	0.66	←	0.50	58
←	Morocco (81)	45	0.33	←	0.25	29
nd	Mozambique (66)	64	1.02		0.81	91
→	Myanmar (73)		0.79	→		79
→	Namibia (89)	71	0.74	→	0.57	66
←	Nepal (58)	51	0.64	→	0.50	57
→	Netherlands (100)	77	0.77	→	0.64	71
→	New Zealand (97)	78	0.82	→	0.70	76
←	Nicaragua (70)	52	0.41	←	0.32	36
←	Niger (55)	47	0.75		0.57	66
←	Nigeria (66)	44	0.53	←	0.41	47
→	Norway (100)	83	0.87	→	0.77	82
←	Oman (98)	46	0.28	→	0.19	23
←	Pakistan (71)	43	0.39	←	0.29	34
→	Panama (93)	70	0.64	→	0.58	61
→	Papua New Guinea (61)		0.96	→	0.72	84
→	Paraguay (95)	67	0.77	→	0.34	56
→	Peru (88)	70	0.72	→	0.55	63
→	Philippines (78)	76	0.66	→	0.61	63
←	Poland (99)	70	0.78	→	0.60	69
→	Portugal (99)	73	0.79	→	0.59	69
←	Qatar (95)	48	0.41	←	0.24	33
→	Romania (98)	71	0.80	→	0.69	75
←	Russia (100)	71	0.80	→	0.62	71
←	Rwanda (53)	84	0.95	→	0.74	85
nd	Samoa (97)	49	0.51		0.38	44
nd	Sao Tomé and Príncipe (83)	49	0.40		0.30	35
←	Saudi Arabia (96)	43	0.22	←	0.16	19
←	Senegal (68)	55	0.69	→	0.54	61
←	Sierra Leone (59)	45	0.60	→	0.46	53
→	Singapore (100)	63	0.66	→	0.51	59
←	Slovakia (99)	69	0.76	←	0.58	67

SOURCE:  
UNDP Human Development Report 2009.

For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at:  
[www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

Summary: CURRENT SITUATION (colour) RECENT EVOLUTION (arrow-icon)	COUNTRIES (BCI value, 0-100)	COUNTRIES (IEG value)	ACTIVITY RATE GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	ESTIMATED EARNED INCOME RATIO GAP (women/men, value 0-1)	Value
←	Slovenia (99)	65	0.80	←	71
←	Solomon Islands (—)	47	0.66	←	58
←	South Africa (89)	75	0.58	←	51
→	Spain (100)	77	0.66	→	58
←	Sri Lanka (96)	58	0.45	←	43
nd	St Lucia (98)	71	0.67		59
nd	St Vincent and Grenadines (95)	60	0.68		60
←	Sudan (70)	43	0.33	←	29
←	Suriname (82)	56	0.52	→	46
←	Swaziland (80)	49	0.43	←	36
→	Sweden (100)	88	0.87	→	84
→	Switzerland (99)	62	0.80	→	71
→	Syria (95)	54	0.44	→	39
←	Tajikistan (89)	52	0.74	←	66
nd	Tanzania (73)	72	0.95		84
←	Thailand (94)	70	0.81	→	72
←	Timor-Leste (53)	66	0.67	←	67
←	Togo (68)	47	0.56	←	49
nd	Tonga (96)		0.63		55
→	Trinidad and Tobago (95)	70	0.61	→	54
←	Tunisia (95)	50	0.38	←	34
←	Turkey (92)	46	0.36	←	36
←	Turkmenistan (—)		0.83	→	73
←	Uganda (59)	67	0.92	→	81
	Ukraine (98)	69	0.79	→	67
→	United Arab Emirates (100)	50	0.42	→	33
→	United Kingdom (99)	74	0.80	→	73
	United States of America (98)	74	0.82		72
→	Uruguay (98)	69	0.72	→	64
←	Uzbekistan (—)	57	0.78	←	69
nd	Vanuatu (87)	54	0.91		79
→	Venezuela (93)	68	0.69	→	61
←	Viet Nam (93)	74	0.92	→	81
→	West Bank and Gaza (—)		0.15	→	15
→	Yemen (59)	30	0.39	→	34
←	Zambia (71)	56	0.73	→	64
←	Zimbabwe (77)	58	0.76	→	67

SOURCE:  
UNDP Human Development Report 2009.  
For more detailed information on the reference years of the data see complete tables at: [www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009](http://www.socialwatch.org/statistics2009)

**NOTE:**

**1. Evolution:** Evolution of indicators obtained by re-escalating those values resulting from the relative rate of variation among the following ranks:  
*Minor than -5: significant regression; Between -5 and -1: regression; Between -1 and 1: stagnant; Between 1 a 5: slight progress; Larger than 5: significant progress.*

This rate is obtained from the following operation:  
 $(2009 \text{ value} - 2008 \text{ value} / 2008 \text{ value}) * 100$

**2. Value reached by the index:** The value results from adding the values calculated for each dimension and dividing the result by the total number of dimensions presenting data.

**3. Stagnant Evolution:** In those indicators showing stagnant evolution in all their values, said evolution responds to lack of updating, being reproduced those values registered in 2008.

**DEFINITION OF INDICATORS:**

**Activity rate gap (women/men):**

Female economic activity rate (the share of the female population ages 15 and older who supply, or are available to supply, labour for the production of goods and services) as a percentage of the male economic activity rate.

**Estimated earned income ratio (women/men):**

Ratio of estimated female earned income to estimated male earned income. Because of the lack of gender-disaggregated income data, female and male earned income are crudely estimated by UNDP on the basis of data on the ratio of the female non-agricultural wage to the male non-agricultural

wage, the female and male shares of the economically active population, the total female and male population and GDP per capita (purchasing power parity in USD).