## A failed financial architecture... and how to build a new one



	$\bigvee \bigvee \bigvee$	$/ \backslash / \backslash / \backslash / / / / / / / / / / / / / /$	$/ \ \backslash / \ / \$	
	$\land \land $			
		CREDIT RATING AGENCIES	THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND AND THE WORLD BANK	$\times \to$
inances are usually explained in water metaphors:				
money "flows", benefits from growth "trickle down" to the poor, capital "leaks" out of countries to tax	The build-up and eruption of the crisis in the financial system was paralleled by an unusually sharp increase	Finch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's, among others, are companies that assign	The London summit of the G20 (an ad hoc group of the 22 most economically important countries) promised to repair the global economy by taking action in major areas such as restoring growth,	$\square$
havens	and subsequent strong reversal of the prices of primary	grades (ratings) for bonds and other debt	jobs, confidence and lending, strengthening financial regulation, funding and reforming the	$\times \rightarrow$
	commodities. The price boom between 2002 and mid-2008 was the most pronounced in several decades	obligations issued by big companies or governments and traded on the market. The	international financial institutions (IFIs), rejecting protectionism and pursuing recovery through a	$\left[ \right] /$
From a first glance at this construction, most people will	in its magnitude, duration and breadth. The price decline	issuer's credit worthiness (i.e., its ability to	green economy. However, the only apparent financial commitment made was to announce the injection through	$\rightarrow$
see a waterfall, in the same way that most residents of rich	since mid-2008 stands out for its sharpness and the	pay back) affects the interest rate. Risky	various ways of USD 1.1 trillion into the IMF, the World Bank and regional development banks. Due	$\checkmark$
countries think that an enormous flow of their tax	number of commodity groups affected. The price hike for a number of commodities puts a heavy burden on many	"papers" pay more interest while obligations graded AAA pay less but are supposed to be	to the glaring absence of a political consensus among key G20 members on a coordinated fiscal stimulus plan, or regulation of cross-border financial flows, the only agreement on immediate action	$\land$
contributions is directed to poor countries, in the form of aid, loans, trade benefits and frequently talked about debt	developing countries relying on imports of food and	safe. Long term investors like pension funds	was to boost the resources of the international financial institutions, whose decision-making has	$\rightarrow$
cancellations. But the water cascading down doesn't quite	energy commodities, and contributed to food crises in a number of countries during 2007-2008. In the same way,	are frequently required by laws and regulations to only buy AAA-graded papers.	been controlled by the US and European countries since their creation. However, the benefits of this significant funding increase, particularly for the IMF, which will be	
reach the poor Instead it is diverted and –against all	the drop in commodity prices in the second half of 2008	The credit rating agencies totally failed, as the	endowed with an extra USD 750 billion, cannot be compared to the potential positive repercussions	$\times$
logic- it flows up instead of down.	was one of the main channels through which the dramatic slowdown of economic and financial activity in	financial crisis of the sub-prime mortgages in the US demonstrated, and many investments	of a coordinated fiscal stimulus. As economic experts have pointed out, IMF funds only help the world's economies if countries borrow from the Fund, whereas fiscal stimulus efforts bolster global	$\rightarrow$
	the major industrialized countries was transmitted to the	graded as safe were actually worthless. With	demand overall.	
In 2006, Social Watch used this illustration, inspired by the famous "Waterfall" etching by MC Escher, as a metaphor	developing world.	the collapse of Wall Street in September 2008,	The G20's decision to channel funds predominantly through the IMF, rather than proposing a more	$\times \rightarrow$
for the global financial architecture. This structure	The strong and sustained increase in primary commodity prices between 2002 and mid-2008 was accompanied by	an estimated USD 50 trillion of wealth was "destroyed" as savings in shares, investment	diverse allocation of funds, is a narrow mechanism through which the developing countries may be imposed with the same type of procyclical and contractionary policies that contributed to creating	
prominently features the Bretton Woods institutions (the World Bank and the International Monetary	a growing presence of financial investors in commodity	funds and other obligations lost value	the crisis.	
Fund - IMF), despite the fact that they had clearly failed to achieve the objectives they were created	futures exchanges. This "financialization" of commodity markets has raised concerns that many of the recent	dramatically. The Securities and Exchange Commission of the US Government is	The capital refurbishment of both the IMF and the World Bank comes without any upfront reform requirements for the institutions. Instead, the only key reforms outlined are to end the Europe-US	$\times \rightarrow$
for: to ensure financial stability, full employment and development. We argued then that a mechanism	commodity price developments – and especially the	investigating anti-competitive practices of	monopoly on the leaders of the Bank and Fund, and governance reforms to increase quotas and	$\left[ \right] /$
that mobilizes capital from where it is scarce (the low and middle income countries) to where it is	steep increase in 2007-2008 and the subsequent strong	credit rating agencies and conflicts of interest,	participation by developing countries, which, however, are not to be reviewed and implemented until 2011 for the IMF and 2010 for the World Bank.	$\rightarrow$
abundant is "impossible, both in the sense of impractical and in the sense of intolerable" and that the international financial architecture "badly needs to be redesigned."	reversal – was largely driven by financial investors' use of commodities as an asset class.	as they were grading the debt of the same companies that were the source of a large	While the Fund and Bank get away without deeper reform requirements, these very institutions	$\checkmark$
International maintair distinctaire backy needs to be readisigned.	(Extracted from UNCTAD's report The Global Economic Crisis, 2009)	part of their income.	almost always require policy reforms from their member country borrowers upon obtaining loans.	$\land$ $\land$
Two years later, the international financial system collapsed, credit sources dried up and recession			(Extracted from an analysis by Bhumika Muchhala)	$\rightarrow$
spread like a pandemic from the richest economies to the poorest.				$\langle / \rangle$
The need for substantial reform is new widely asknowledged, but a some nunderstanding of what				$^{\times}$
The need for substantial reform is now widely acknowledged, but a common understanding of what went wrong still needs to be achieved, before a blueprint for a new financial architecture can be			THE BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS (BIS)	$\rightarrow$
agreed upon.			Based in Basel, Switzerland and with a staff of over 500	
			people, the BIS is largely ignored by the public, even when it	$\times \rightarrow$
On the other hand, there is a growing consensus on the immediate need to compensate for decreasing			was the first international financial organization (established in 1930) and is currently a key pillar in the	
private sector activity and failing markets with stimulus "packages." More than USD 10 trillion has			international financial architecture. As a bank, the BIS only	$\square$
been spent worldwide on subsidies or tax cuts benefiting corporations, banks and affluent individuals, but those have largely not resulted in renewing credit or in stimulating countercyclical spending. The			provides services to its members, which are the central	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$
banks are reluctant to lend to businesses with uncertain futures, and likewise, consumers are preferring			banks of 55 developed and middle income countries. As an informal "forum" it is a key place to agree on banking rules,	$  \setminus /$
to save instead of spend. But people living in poverty, whether in developing countries or in rich			which is done through the Basel Committee on Banking	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
countries, will spend every single penny that they receive. Since the poor do not have the option of			Supervision and the Financial Stability Forum (FSF). The G20 Summit upgraded the FSF into a Financial Stability Board	$\langle \rangle$
postponing consumption, the best stimulus plan to address the global economic crisis is to invest in			CFSB), expanded its membership to all G20 countries and	$( \ /$
them. This is not merely a basic principle of justice; it also makes good economic sense.			mandated it with monitoring global financial stability and	$- \times$
			promoting medium-term reform. Some critics fear that this task would unduly violate national sovereignty, while others	/
			argue that the FSB has no real power to accomplish	$\land$
			anything. In the recent past, BIS and its Basel Committees have been responsible for drafting the standards and codes	$\rightarrow$
HEDGE FUNDS AND THE "CASINO ECONOMY"			for the financial and monetary spheres that dramatically	
Common sense and basic math say that you cannot sustainably make money betting			failed to ensure financial stability.	$\times$
in a casino. In the same way, in no market can everybody earn above average profits,				
and no financial investment can pay in the long term more then the real economic activities on which it is based. Yet, as investors always want to believe they can defy				
the laws of gravity, huge amounts of savings were attracted by hedge funds and			WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION (WTO)	$\langle \rangle$
other "innovative financial instruments", backed by irresponsible triple-A credit			The current Doha Round of trade negotiations includes	
ratings. The better returns achieved by hedge funds for a while came at the cost of higher risk. This higher risk is generated by the use of leverage – the degree to which			financial services. Developed countries and their financial	$\square$
an investor is utilizing borrowed money – oftentimes several layers of it. In this			institutions are pressing a group of developing countries to open up their financial markets, i.e. by allowing the	
regard, for example, investors could borrow to invest in funds of funds which, in turn, borrow to invest in hedge funds which, in turn, use derivatives to leverage			establishment of foreign banks, and by allowing freedom of	$\left  \right\rangle /$
themselves. This whole pyramid, not completely different from the fraudulent "Ponzi			cross-border financial flows and services. If the negotiations	$\rightarrow$
schemes" of Bernard Madoff, fell like a house of cards in September 2008 and, with it, the belief that unregulated liberalization and non-intervention by governments			conclude along the proposed lines, the developing countries would have to adopt the type of financial liberalisation that	$\checkmark$
would bring prosperity.			makes them more vulnerable.	$\land \land$
		h	It would also mean that countries that may wish to abide by policies proposed by the Stiglitz Commission to regulate	$\rightarrow$
			financial flows and institutions and instruments may be	
			violating their new WTO commitments. It is a paradox that	X X

